arXiv:math-ph/0306068v1 26 Jun 2003

Relativistic Spectral Properties of Landau Operator with $\delta-$ and $\delta'-$ cylinder interactions

G. Honnouvo and M. N. Hounkonnou

Unité de Recherche en Physique Théorique (URPT)
Institut de Mathématiques et de Sciences Physiques (IMSP)
01 B.P. 2628 Porto-Novo, BENIN,
International Chair in Mathematical Physics and Applications (ICMPA)
01 BP 2628 Porto-Novo, BENIN,
g_honnouvo@yahoo.fr, hounkonnou1@yahoo.fr

Abstract

Using the theory of self-adjoint extensions, we study the relativistic spectral properties of the Landau operator with δ and δ' interactions on a cylinder of radius R for a charged spin particle system, formally given by the Hamiltonian $H_B^G = (p-A)^2\mathbb{1} + \sigma.\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{G}V(r)$, $\Big(V(r) = \delta(R-r)$ or $V(r) = \delta'(R-r)\Big)$, acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\bigotimes\mathbb{C}^2$. G a scalar 2×2 real matrix. $\mathbb{1}$ is the identity matrix. The potential vector has the form $A = (B/2)(-y,\, x)$ and $B > 0$.

1 Introduction

Over the last decades, there have been major research efforts in studying Schrödinger operators to describe properties of charged particles in magnetic systems (see [1], [2] and references therein). Gesztesy et al.[3] have devoted a communication to the point interaction in magnetic field systems in the nonrelativistic case . More recently [4], we have studied the nonrelativistic case of this model. In this paper, we deal with the relativistic models for a two-dimensional quantum Hamiltonian describing a charged spin particle in a constant and uniform magnetic field superimposed to δ and δ'-interaction on a cylinder of radius R.

We consider an electron confined in the $x-y$ plane and subjected to a uniform magnetic field perpendicular to the plane, using the symmetric gauge vector potential $A = (B/2)(-y, x)$, $B > 0$.

We provide a complete spectral analysis of the given operator and deduce helpful properties when this operator is perturbed by δ or δ' interaction on the cylinder of radius R. We recover the results obtained in [3], [4] for the point interaction as a particular case of our study.

First, using the von Neumann theory of self-adjoint (*s.a.*) extension of linear symmetric operators [5], we characterized the self-adjoint extension of this operator. The relativistic type Hamiltonian is formally expressed as:

$$H_B^G = (p-A)^2 \mathbb{1} + \begin{pmatrix} B+\alpha V(r) & 0 \\ 0 & -B+\beta V(r) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$V(r) = \left| \begin{array}{l} \xi\delta(r-R), \\ \xi\delta'(r-R), \end{array} \right. \quad \text{with } \xi \in \mathbb{R},\ R>0. \tag{1.2}$$

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we investigate the relativistic model of δ. In section 3, the relativistic model of δ'. Finally, in section 4, we conclude with some remarks.

2 The model of the relativistic δ-cylinder interaction

In this section, we derive the properties of the relativistic quantum Hamiltonian describing a charged spin particle in a constant magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ coupled with δ interaction on the cylinder of radius R, given by

$$H_B^G = (p-A)^2 \mathbb{1} + \sigma.\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{G}\delta(R-r), \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\sigma = (\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3), \tag{2.2}$$

and the σ^i are the Pauli matrices defined by

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \text{and} \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

α and β are real numbers.

Let us consider the operator

$$H_B = (p-A)^2 \mathbb{1} + \sigma.\mathbf{B}, \tag{2.4}$$

and the closed symmetric operator

$$\dot{H}_B = \begin{pmatrix} (p-A)^2 + B & 0 \\ 0 & (p-A)^2 - B \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.5}$$

with the domain

$$\mathcal{D}(\dot{H}_B) = \{\psi \in H^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \ , \ \psi(S^R) = 0 ; \dot{H}_B \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2\} \ , \tag{2.6}$$

where $S^R = \{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ , \ |\underline{x}| = R\}$ is a circle of radius R centered at the origin in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and $H^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is the Sobolev space of indices (k, p).

Let us now decompose the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2$ as follows

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2 = \Big(L^2(]0, \infty[) \bigotimes L^2(S^1) \Big) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2, \tag{2.7}$$

S^1 being the unit circle in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Similarly to the nonrelativistic study, the following isometry is introduced in order to remove the weight factor r from the measure:

$$\tilde{U} : \left\{ \begin{array}{c} L^2((0, \infty); r dr) \longrightarrow L^2((0, \infty); dr) \equiv L^2((0, \infty)) \\ f \longmapsto \left(\tilde{U} f \right)(r) = \sqrt{r} f(r). \end{array} \right. \tag{2.8}$$

Then, we get the following decomposition of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{m'=-\infty}^{m'=+\infty} \bigoplus_{m=-\infty}^{m=+\infty} \left[\left(\tilde{U}^{-1}(L^2(]0; \infty)) \bigotimes \left[\frac{e^{im\phi}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right] \right) \bigoplus \left(\tilde{U}^{-1}(L^2(]0; \infty)) \bigotimes \left[\frac{e^{im'\theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right] \right) \right], \tag{2.9}$$

so that the operator $\dot{H}_B$ writes

$$\dot{H}_B = \bigoplus_{m=-\infty}^{m=+\infty} \bigoplus_{m'=-\infty}^{m'=+\infty} \tilde{U}^{-1} \dot{H}_{B,m,m'} \tilde{U} \bigotimes \mathbb{1}, \tag{2.10}$$

where the radial part $\dot{H}_{B,m,m'}$ is defined by

$$\dot{H}_{B,m,m'} = \begin{pmatrix} h^1_{B,m} & 0 \\ 0 & h^2_{B,m'} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$h^1_{B,m} = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \left(\frac{m}{r} + \frac{B}{2} r \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4r^2} + B$$

and

$$h^2_{B,m'} = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \left(\frac{m'}{r} + \frac{B}{2} r \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4r^2} - B$$

with the domain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\dot{H}_{B,m,m'}) \quad = \quad & \Big\{ f \in \Big(L^2(]0, \infty[, dr) \cap H^{2,2}_{loc}(]0, \infty[) \Big) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2 \, ; \ f(R_\pm) = 0 \, ; \\ & \dot{H}_{B,m,m'} f \in L^2((0, \infty)) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2 \Big\}, \ \ m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ m' \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

The adjoint operator $\dot{H}^*_{B,m,m'}$ of $\dot{H}_{B,m,m'}$ is defined by (2.11) in the domain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}(\dot{H}^*_{B,m,m'}) \;\; = \;\; & \Big\{ f \in \Big(L^2(]0,\infty[,dr) \cap H^{2,2}_{loc}(]0,\infty[\setminus\{R\}) \Big) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2\,;\; f(R_+) = f(R_-) \equiv f(R); \\ & \dot{H}_{B,m,m'} f \in L^2((0,\infty)) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2 \;\Big\}, \\ & m \in \; Z,\; m' \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

The indicial equation reads

$$\dot{H}^*_{B,m,m'} \tilde{\psi}_{B,m,m'}(k,r) = k \tilde{\psi}_{B,m,m'}(k,r),$$

or equivalently

$$\dot{H}^*_{B,m,m'} \begin{pmatrix} \phi^1_{B,m,m'} \\ \phi^2_{B,m,m'} \end{pmatrix} = k \begin{pmatrix} \phi^1_{B,m,m'} \\ \phi^2_{B,m,m'} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.14}$$

$k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, which has two solutions:

$$\tilde{\psi}^1_{B,m,m'} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^1_{B,m,m'} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$\tilde{\psi}^2_{B,m,m'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi^2_{B,m,m'} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.16}$$

where

$$\phi^1_{B,m,m'}(k,r) = \begin{cases} N^1_{B,m} G^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R) \times F^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r)\,; & r \le R\,, \\ N^1_{B,m} F^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R) \times G^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r)\,; & r \ge R\,, \end{cases} \tag{2.17}$$

$$\phi^2_{B,m,m'}(k,r) = \begin{cases} N^2_{B,m'} G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R) \times F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r)\,; & r \le R\,, \\ N^2_{B,m'} F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R) \times G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r)\,; & r \ge R\,, \end{cases} \tag{2.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r) &= r^{1/2+|m|} e^{-\frac{1}{4}Br^2} {}_1F_1\left(\tfrac{1}{2}(|m|+m+1-\tfrac{k-B}{B}), |m|+1; \tfrac{B}{2}r^2\right), \\ G^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r) &= r^{1/2+|m|} e^{-\frac{1}{4}Br^2} U\left(\tfrac{1}{2}(|m|+m+1-\tfrac{k-B}{B}), |m|+1; \tfrac{B}{2}r^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r) &= r^{1/2+|m'|} e^{-\frac{1}{4}Br^2} {}_1F_1\left(\tfrac{1}{2}(|m'|+m'+1-\tfrac{k+B}{B}), |m'|+1; \tfrac{B}{2}r^2\right), \\ G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r) &= r^{1/2+|m'|} e^{-\frac{1}{4}Br^2} U\left(\tfrac{1}{2}(|m'|+m'+1-\tfrac{k+B}{B}), |m'|+1; \tfrac{B}{2}r^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

$$N^1_{B,m} = \Big(||P^1_{B,m}(k)||_{L^2(]0,\infty))} \Big)^{-1}, \tag{2.21}$$

$$N^2_{B,m'} = \Big(||P^2_{B,m'}(k)||_{L^2(]0,\infty))} \Big)^{-1}, \tag{2.22}$$

$$P^1_{B,m}(k,r) = \begin{cases} G^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R) \times F^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r)\ ; & r \leq R\ , \\ F^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R) \times G^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r)\ ; & r \geq R\ , \end{cases} \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$P^2_{B,m'}(k,r) = \begin{cases} G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R) \times F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r)\ ; & r \leq R\ , \\ F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R) \times G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r)\ ; & r \geq R\ . \end{cases} \tag{2.24}$$

Since the indicial equation admits two solutions, $\dot{H}_{B,m,m'}$ has deficiency indices $(2,2)$ and, consequently, all self-adjoint (s.a) extensions of $\dot{H}_{B,m,m'}$ are given by a 4-parameter family of (s.a.) operators[5]. In particular, we define here

$$H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'} = \begin{pmatrix} h^1_{B,m} & 0 \\ & \\ 0 & h^2_{B,m'} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.25}$$

with the domain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}) \;\; = \;\; & \Big\{ f \in \Big(L^2(]0,\infty[, dr) \cap H^{2,2}_{loc}(]0,\infty[-\{R\}) \Big) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2\ ;\ f(R_+) = f(R_-) \equiv f(R); \\ & f'(R_+) - f'(R_-) = G_{m,m'} f(R);\ H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'} f \in L^2((0,\infty)) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2 \ \Big\}, \\ & m \in \mathbb{Z},\ m' \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

and

$$G_{m,m'} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_m & 0 \\ & \\ 0 & \beta_{m'} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.27}$$

The case $G_{m,m'} = 0$ coincides with the free kinetic energy Hamiltonian $H^O_{B,m,m'}$ for fixed quantum numbers m, m'.

Let $G = \{G_{m,m'}\}_{m,\, m' \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and introduce in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2$ the operator

$$H^G_B = \bigoplus_{m=-\infty}^{m=+\infty} \bigoplus_{m'=-\infty}^{m'=+\infty} \tilde{U}^{-1} H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'} \tilde{U} \bigotimes \mathbb{1}. \tag{2.28}$$

By definition, H^G_B is the rigorous mathematical formulation of the formal expression (2.1). Actually, it provides a slight generalization of (2.1), since G may depend on $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m' \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 2.1 *(i) The resolvent of $H_{B,m,m'}^{G_{m,m'}}$ is given by*

$$(H_{B,m,m'}^{G_{m,m'}}-k)^{-1}=(H_{B,m,m'}^{O}-k)^{-1}+\sum_{i,j=1}^{2}\mu_{i,j}(k)\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{B,m,m'}^{i}(\overline{k}),.\right)\tilde{\Psi}_{B,m,m'}^{j}(k),$$

$$k\in\rho(H_{B,m,m'}^{G_{m,m'}})\cap\rho(H_{B,m,m'}^{O}),\ \ m\in\mathbb{Z},\ m'\in\mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.29}$$

where

$$\mu_{1,1}(k)=\frac{\alpha_m}{N_{B,m}^{1}\Big(G_{B,m}^{\prime(1)}(k,R)F_{B,m}^{(1)}(k,R)-G_{B,m}^{(1)}(k,R)F_{B,m}^{\prime(1)}(k,R)-\alpha_m G_{B,m}^{(1)}(k,R)F_{B,m}^{(1)}(k,R)\Big)}, \tag{2.30}$$

$$\mu_{1,2}(k)=0, \tag{2.31}$$

$$\mu_{2,1}(k)=0 \tag{2.32}$$

and

$$\mu_{2,2}(k)=\frac{\beta_{m'}}{N_{B,m'}^{2}\Big(G_{B,m'}^{\prime(2)}(k,R)F_{B,m'}^{(2)}(k,R)-G_{B,m'}^{(2)}(k,R)F_{B,m'}^{\prime(2)}(k,R)-\beta_{m'}G_{B,m'}^{(2)}(k,R)F_{B,m'}^{(2)}(k,R)\Big)}. \tag{2.33}$$

The Green function $G_{B,m,m'}^{O}(k,r,r')$ of $H_{B,m,m'}^{O}$ has the form

$$(H_{B,m,m'}^{O}-k)^{-1}=G_{B,m,m'}^{O}(k,r,r')=\begin{pmatrix} g_{m,m'}^{1,1}(k,r,r') & g_{m,m'}^{1,2}(k,r,r') \\ g_{m,m'}^{2,1}(k,r,r') & g_{m,m'}^{2,2}(k,r,r') \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.34}$$

where

$$g_{m,m'}^{1,2}(k,r,r')(r,r')=g_{m,m'}^{2,1}(k,r,r')=0, \tag{2.35}$$

$$g_{m,m'}^{1,1}(k,r,r')=\begin{cases} N_{B,m}^{1}G_{B,m}^{(1)}(k,r)\times F_{B,m}^{(1)}(k,r')\ ; & r'\leq r\ , \\ N_{B,m}^{1}F_{B,m}^{(1)}(k,r)\times G_{B,m}^{(1)}(k,r')\ ; & r'\geq r \end{cases} \tag{2.36}$$

and

$$g_{m,m'}^{2,2}(k,r,r')=\begin{cases} N_{B,m'}^{2}G_{B,m'}^{(2)}(k,r)\times F_{B,m'}^{(2)}(k,r')\ ; & r'\leq r\ , \\ N_{B,m'}^{2}F_{B,m'}^{(2)}(k,r)\times G_{B,m'}^{(2)}(k,r')\ ; & r'\geq r\ . \end{cases} \tag{2.37}$$

We note that $g_{m,m'}^{1,1}(k,R,r)=\phi_{B,m}^{1}(k,r)$ and $g_{m,m'}^{2,2}(k,R,r)=\phi_{B,m}^{2}(k,r)$.
(ii) The resolvent of H_B^G is given by

$$\left(H_B^G - k\right)^{-1} = \left(H_B^O - k\right)^{-1} + \bigoplus_{m=-\infty}^{m=+\infty}\bigoplus_{m'=-\infty}^{m'=+\infty}\sum_{i,j=1}^{2}\mu_{i,j}(k)\left(|.|^{-1}\tilde{\Psi}^i_{B,m,m'}(\overline{k}), .\right)|.|^{-1}\tilde{\Psi}^j_{B,m,m'}(k),$$

$$k \in \rho(H_B^G) \cap \rho(H_B^O),\ \ m \in \mathbb{Z},\ m' \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.38}$$

Proof: Since $\dot{H}_{B,m,m'}$ has deficiency indices (2.2), it follows from Krein's formula ^{6} that the resolvent of $H_{B,m,m'}^{G_{m,m'}}$ is given by

$$(H_{B,m,m'}^{G_{m,m'}} - k)^{-1} = (H_{B,m,m'}^{0} - k)^{-1} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2}\mu_{i,j}(k)\left(\tilde{\Psi}^i_{B,m,m'}(\overline{k}), .\right)\tilde{\Psi}^j_{B,m,m'}(k),$$

$$k \in \rho(H_{B,m,m'}^{G_{m,m'}}) \cap \rho(H_{B,m,m'}^{O}),\ \ m \in \mathbb{Z},\ m' \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.39}$$

Since $G_{B,m,m'}^{G_{m,m'}}(k,r,r')$ must satisfy the following equation:

$$(H_{B,m,m'}^{O} - k)G_{B,m,m'}^{G_{m,m'}}(k,r,r') = \begin{pmatrix} \delta(r-r') & 0 \\ 0 & \delta(r-r') \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.40}$$

one has

$$(h_{B,m}^1 - z)g_{m,m'}^{1,1}(k,r,r') = \delta(r-r'), \tag{2.41}$$

$$g_{m,m'}^{1,2}(k,r,r') = g_{m,m'}^{2,1}(k,r,r') = 0, \tag{2.42}$$

$$(h_{B,m'}^2 - z)g_{m,m'}^{2,2}(k,r,r') = \delta(r-r'). \tag{2.43}$$

which implies that

$$g_{m,m'}^{1,1}(k,r,r') = \begin{cases} N_{B,m}^1 G_{B,m}^{(1)}(k,r) \times F_{B,m}^{(1)}(k,r')\ ; & r' \leq r\ , \\ N_{B,m}^1 F_{B,m}^{(1)}(k,r) \times G_{B,m}^{(1)}(k,r')\ ; & r' \geq r \end{cases} \tag{2.44}$$

and

$$g_{m,m'}^{2,2}(k,r,r') = \begin{cases} N_{B,m'}^2 G_{B,m'}^{(2)}(k,r) \times F_{B,m'}^{(2)}(k,r')\ ; & r' \leq r\ , \\ N_{B,m'}^2 F_{B,m'}^{(2)}(k,r) \times G_{B,m'}^{(2)}(k,r')\ ; & r' \geq r\ . \end{cases} \tag{2.45}$$

For the determination of $\mu_{i,j}(k)$, we proceed as follows. Let $g \in L^2(]0,\infty])$ and define the function

$$\chi_{m,m'}(k,r) = \left((H_{B,m,m'}^{G_{m,m'}} - k)^{-1} g\right)(r).$$

Since $\chi_{m,m'} \in D(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'})$, it follows that $\chi_{m,m'}$ should satisfy the boundary conditions of $D(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'})$, the implementation of which gives

$$\mu_{1,1}(k) = \frac{\alpha_m}{N^1_{B,m}\Big(G'^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R)F^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R) - G^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R)F'^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R) - \alpha_m G^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R)F^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R)\Big)}, \tag{2.46}$$

$$\mu_{1,2}(k) = 0, \tag{2.47}$$

$$\mu_{2,1}(k) = 0 \tag{2.48}$$

and

$$\mu_{2,2}(k) = \frac{\beta_{m'}}{N^2_{B,m'}\Big(G'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R)F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R) - G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R)F'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R) - \beta_{m'} G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R)F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R)\Big)}. \tag{2.49}$$

Inserting (2.46), (2.47) (2.48) and (2.49) into ((2.39)), we deduce the expression (2.29). Eq. ((2.38) follows from (2.28) and ((2.29) .

Spectral properties of $H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}$ are provided by the following theorem where $\sigma_{ess}(.)$, $\sigma_{sc}(.)$ and $\sigma_p(.)$ represent the same properties as mentioned.

Theorem 2.2 *: For all $\alpha_m \in (-\infty,\infty)$ and $\beta_{m'} \in (-\infty,\infty)$, we have the following results*

$$\sigma_{ess}(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}) = \emptyset, \tag{2.50}$$

$$\sigma_{sc}(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}) = \emptyset, \tag{2.51}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_p(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}) \;=\; &\Big\{E \in \mathbb{R}/G'^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R)F^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R) - G^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R)F'^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R)\\ &-\alpha_m G^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R)F^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R) = 0\\ &\text{or } G'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R)F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R) - G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R)F'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R)\\ &-\beta_{m'} G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R)F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R) = 0\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.52}$$

The negative bound states are related to the eigenvalues of $H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}$ obtained from the equation

$$det(\mu_{i,j}(E))^{-1} = 0;\;\; E < 0, \tag{2.53}$$

which has at most two solutions $E_0 < 0$.

Proof: We know that [1] $\sigma_{ess}(H^{O}_{B,m,m'}) = \emptyset$. Using Weyl's theorem [8], we have

$$\sigma_{ess}(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}) = \sigma_{ess}(H^{O}_{B,m,m'}) = \emptyset. \tag{2.54}$$

Eq.(2.38) implies that the point spectrum is determined by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_p(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}) &= \Big\{E \in \mathbb{R}/\ det(\mu_{i,j}(E))^{-1} = 0\Big\}\\ &= \Big\{E \in \mathbb{R}/\ G'^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R)F^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R) - G^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R)F'^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R)\\ &\quad -\alpha_m G^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R)F^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R) = 0\\ &\quad \text{or } G'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R)F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R) - G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R)F'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R)\\ &\quad -\beta_{m'} G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R)F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R) = 0\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.52). The last part of this theorem follows from the statement of[5] [theorem 1, page 116] .

3 The model of relativistic δ'- cylinder interaction

In this section, we deal with the relativistic quantum Hamiltonian of a charged spin particle in a constant uniform magnetic field **B** coupled with δ' interaction on the cylinder of radius R, given by

$$H^G_B = (p - A)^2 \mathbb{1} + \sigma.\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{G}\delta'(R - r). \tag{3.1}$$

Let us consider the closed symmetric operator, formally defined by

$$\dot{H}_B = (p - A)^2 \mathbb{1} + \sigma.\mathbf{B}, \tag{3.2}$$

with the domain

$$\mathcal{D}(\dot{H}_B) = \{\psi \in H^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2\ ,\ \psi'(S^R) = 0; \dot{H}_B\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2\}\ . \tag{3.3}$$

Performing the same decomposition (2.9) and (2.10) for the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and for the operator $\dot{H}_B$, we readily recover Eq.(2.11) for the operator $\dot{H}_{B,m,m'}$:

$$\dot{H}_{B,m,m'} = \begin{pmatrix} h^1_{B,m} & 0 \\ 0 & h^2_{B,m'} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.4}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}(\dot{H}_{B,m,m'}) \;&=\; \Big\{ f \in \Big(L^2(]0,\infty[, dr) \cap H^{2,2}_{loc}(]0,\infty[) \Big) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2 \,;\; f'(R_\pm) = 0 \,; \\ &\quad \dot{H}_{B,m,m'} f \in L^2((0,\infty)) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2 \Big\},\;\; m,\; m' \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Here the boundary conditions require the derivative f' to vanish at the circle of radius R for the δ'-interaction instead of the continuity of the function f at $r = R$ in the case of δ-interaction (see Eq. (2.12)).

The adjoint operator $\dot{H}^*_{B,m,m'}$ of $\dot{H}_{B,m,m'}$ is defined by

$$\dot{H}^*_{B,m,m'} = \begin{pmatrix} h^1_{B,m} & 0 \\ 0 & h^2_{B,m'} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.6}$$

with the domain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}(\dot{H}^*_{B,m}) \;&=\; \Big\{ f \in \Big(L^2(]0,\infty[, dr) \cap H^{2,2}_{loc}(]0,\infty[\setminus\{R\}) \Big) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2 \,;\; f'(R_+) = f'(R_-) \equiv f'(R) \,; \\ &\quad \dot{H}_{B,m,m'} f \in L^2((0,\infty)) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2 \Big\},\;\; m,\; m' \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

The indicial equation reads

$$\dot{H}^*_{B,m,m'} \tilde{\psi}^1_{B,m,m'}(k,r) = k \tilde{\psi}^1_{B,m,m'}(k,r),$$

or equivalently

$$\dot{H}^*_{B,m,m'} \begin{pmatrix} \phi^1_{B,m} \\ \phi^2_{B,m'} \end{pmatrix} = k \begin{pmatrix} \phi^1_{B,m} \\ \phi^2_{B,m'} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.8}$$

$k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, which has two solutions:

$$\tilde{\psi}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^1_{B,m} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\tilde{\psi}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi^2_{B,m'} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\phi^1_{B,m}(k,r) = \begin{cases} M^1_{B,m} [G^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r)]'_{r=R} \times F^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r) \,; & r < R \,, \\ M^1_{B,m} [F^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r)]'_{r=R} \times G^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r) \,; & r > R \,, \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\phi^2_{B,m'}(k,r) = \begin{cases} M^2_{B,m'} [G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r)]'_{r=R} \times F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r) \,; & r < R \,, \\ M^2_{B,m'} [F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r)]'_{r=R} \times G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r) \,; & r > R \,, \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r) &= r^{1/2+|m|}e^{-\frac{1}{4}Br^2}{}_1F_1\left(\tfrac{1}{2}(|m|+m+1-\tfrac{k-B}{B}),|m|+1;\tfrac{B}{2}r^2\right),\\
G^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r) &= r^{1/2+|m|}e^{-\frac{1}{4}Br^2}U\left(\tfrac{1}{2}(|m|+m+1-\tfrac{k-B}{B}),|m|+1;\tfrac{B}{2}r^2\right)\quad,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.13}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r) &= r^{1/2+|m'|}e^{-\frac{1}{4}Br^2}{}_1F_1\left(\tfrac{1}{2}(|m'|+m'+1-\tfrac{k+B}{B}),|m'|+1;\tfrac{B}{2}r^2\right),\\
G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r) &= r^{1/2+|m'|}e^{-\frac{1}{4}Br^2}U\left(\tfrac{1}{2}(|m'|+m'+1-\tfrac{k+B}{B}),|m'|+1;\tfrac{B}{2}r^2\right)\quad,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.14}
$$

$$
M^1_{B,m} = \left(||P^1_{B,m}(k)||_{L^2(]0,\infty))}\right)^{-1},
\tag{3.15}
$$

$$
M^2_{B,m'} = \left(||P^2_{B,m'}(k)||_{L^2(]0,\infty))}\right)^{-1},
\tag{3.16}
$$

with

$$
P^1_{B,m}(k,r) = \begin{cases} [G^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r)]'_{r=R}\times F^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r)\ ; & r<R\ ,\\ [F^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r)]'_{r=R}\times G^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r)\ ; & r>R\ , \end{cases}
\tag{3.17}
$$

and

$$
P^2_{B,m'}(k,r) = \begin{cases} [G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r)]'_{r=R}\times F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r)\ ; & r<R\ ,\\ [F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r)]'_{r=R}\times G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r)\ ; & r>R\ . \end{cases}
\tag{3.18}
$$

The indicial equation also admits two solutions and $\dot{H}_{B,m,m'}$ has deficiency indices $(2,2)$. Consequently, all self-adjoint (s.a) extensions of $\dot{H}_{B,m,m'}$ are also given by a 4-parameter family of (s.a.) operators[5]. In particular, we define here

$$
H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'} = \begin{pmatrix} h^1_{B,m} & 0 \\ \\ 0 & h^2_{B,m'} \end{pmatrix}
\tag{3.19}
$$

with the domain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}) \quad = \quad & \Big\{ f\in\Big(L^2(]0,\infty[,dr)\cap H^{2,2}_{loc}(]0,\infty[-\{R\})\Big)\bigotimes\mathbb{C}^2\ ;\ f'(R_+)=f'(R_-)\equiv f'(R);\\
& f(R_+)-f(R_-)=G_{m,m'}f'(R);\ \dot{H}_{B,m,m'}f\in L^2((0,\infty))\bigotimes\mathbb{C}^2\ \Big\},\\
& m,\ m'\in\mathbb{Z},\ G_{m,m'}\ \text{defined as previously.}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.20}
$$

As expected, the continuity conditions are required here for the derivative function f' at the point $r=R$. This result respects the situation encountered in the case of the nonrelativistic δ' cylinder interaction.

The case $G_{m,m'} = O$ also coincides with the free kinetic energy Hamiltonian $H^O_{B,m,m'}$ for fixed quantum numbers $m,\ m'$.

Let $G = \{G_{m,m'}\}_{m,\,m'\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and introduce in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\bigotimes\mathbb{C}^2$ the operator

$$H^G_B = \bigoplus_{m=-\infty}^{m=+\infty}\ \bigoplus_{m'=-\infty}^{m'=+\infty} U^{-1} H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'} U \bigotimes \mathbb{1}. \tag{3.21}$$

By definition, H^G_B is the rigorous mathematical formulation of the formal expression (3.1). Actually, it provides a slight generalization of (3.1), since G may depend on $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 3.1 *(i) The resolvent of $H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}$ is given by*

$$(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'} - k)^{-1} = (H^O_{B,m,m'} - k)^{-1} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \mu_{i,j}(k)\left(\tilde{\Psi}^i_{B,m,m'}(\overline{k}), .\right)\tilde{\Psi}^j_{B,m,m'}(k),$$

$$k \in \rho(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}) \cap \rho(H^O_{B,m,m'}),\ \ m,\ m' \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$\mu_{1,1}(k) = \frac{\alpha_m}{M^1_{B,m}\Big(G^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R)F'^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R) - G'^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R)F^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R) - \alpha_m G'^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R)F'^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R)\Big)}, \tag{3.23}$$

$$\mu_{1,2}(k) = 0, \tag{3.24}$$

$$\mu_{2,1}(k) = 0 \tag{3.25}$$

and

$$\mu_{2,2}(k) = \frac{\beta_{m'}}{M^2_{B,m'}\Big(G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R)F'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R) - G'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R)F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R) - \beta_{m'} G'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R)F'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R)\Big)}. \tag{3.26}$$

The Green function $G^O_{B,m,m'}(k,r,r')$ of $H^O_{B,m,m'}$ has the form

$$G^O_{B,m,m'}(k,r,r') = \begin{pmatrix} g^{1,1}_{m,m'}(k,r,r') & g^{1,2}_{m,m'}(k,r,r') \\ g^{2,1}_{m,m'}(k,r,r') & g^{2,2}_{m,m'}(k,r,r') \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.27}$$

Since $G^O_{B,m,m'}(k,r,r')$ must satisfy the following equation:

$$(H^O_{B,m,m'} - k)G^O_{B,m,m'}(k,r,r') = \begin{pmatrix} \delta(r-r') & 0 \\ 0 & \delta(r-r') \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.28}$$

one has

$$(h^1_{B,m} - z)g^{1,1}_{m,m'}(k,r,r') = \delta(r-r'), \tag{3.29}$$

$$g^{1,2}_{m,m'}(k,r,r') = g^{2,1}_{m,m'}(k,r,r') = 0, \tag{3.30}$$

$$(h^2_{B,m'} - z)g^{2,2}_{m,m'}(k,r,r') = \delta(r-r') \tag{3.31}$$

and we have

$$g^{1,1}_{m,m'}(k,r,r') = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M^1_{B,m} G'^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r) \times F^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r') \ ; \quad r' < r \ , \\ M^1_{B,m} F'^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r) \times G^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,r') \ ; \quad r' > r \end{array} \right. \tag{3.32}$$

and

$$g^{2,2}_{m,m'}(k,r,r') = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M^2_{B,m'} G'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r) \times F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r') \ ; \quad r' < r \ , \\ M^2_{B,m'} F'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r) \times G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,r') \ ; \quad r' > r \ . \end{array} \right. \tag{3.33}$$

We note that $g^{1,1}_{m,m'}(k,R,r) = \phi^1_{B,m,m'}(k,r)$ *and* $g^{2,2}_{m,m'}(k,R,r) = \phi^2_{B,m,m'}(k,r)$.
(ii) The resolvent of H^G_B *is given by*

$$\left(H^G_B - k^2\right)^{-1} = \left(H^O_B - k^2\right)^{-1} + \bigoplus_{m=-\infty}^{m=+\infty} \bigoplus_{m'=-\infty}^{m'=+\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \mu_{i,j}(k) \left(|.|^{-1}\tilde{\Psi}^i_{B,m,m'}(\overline{k}), .\right) |.|^{-1}\tilde{\Psi}^j_{B,m,m'}(k),$$

$$k \in \rho(H^G_B) \cap \rho(H^O_B), \ \ m, \ m' \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.34}$$

Proof: Since $\dot{H}_{B,m,m'}$ has deficiency indices (2.2), it follows from Krein's formula [6] that the resolvent of $H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}$ is given by

$$\left(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'} - k\right)^{-1} = \left(H^O_{B,m,m'} - k\right)^{-1} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \mu_{i,j}(k) \left(\tilde{\Psi}^i_{B,m,m'}(\overline{k}), .\right) \tilde{\Psi}^j_{B,m,m'}(k),$$

$$k \in \rho(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}) \cap \rho(H^O_{B,m,m'}), \ \ m, \ m' \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.35}$$

For the determination of $\mu_{i,j}(k)$, we proceed as follows. Let $g \in L^2(]0,\infty])$ and define the function

$$\chi_{m,m'}(k,r) = \left(\left(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'} - k\right)^{-1} g\right)(r).$$

Since $\chi_{m,m'} \in D(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'})$, it follows that $\chi_{m,m'}$ should satisfy the boundary conditions of $D(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'})$. The implementation of these boundary conditions gives

$$\mu_{1,1}(k) = \frac{\alpha_m}{M^1_{B,m}\Big(G^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R)F'^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R) - G'^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R)F^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R) - \alpha_m G'^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R)F'^{(1)}_{B,m}(k,R)\Big)}, \tag{3.36}$$

$$\mu_{1,2}(k) = 0, \tag{3.37}$$

$$\mu_{2,1}(k) = 0 \tag{3.38}$$

and

$$\mu_{2,2}(k) = \frac{\beta_{m'}}{M^2_{B,m'}\Big(G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R)F'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R) - G'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R)F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R) - \beta_{m'} G'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R)F'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(k,R)\Big)}. \tag{3.39}$$

Inserting (3.36), (3.37), (3.38) and (3.39) into (3.35), we deduce the expression (3.22). Eq. (3.34) follows from (3.21) and (3.35) .

Spectral properties of $H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}$ are provided by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2 *: For all $\alpha_m \in (-\infty,\infty)$ and $\beta_{m'} \in (-\infty,\infty)$, we have the following results*

$$\sigma_{ess}(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}) = \emptyset, \tag{3.40}$$

$$\sigma_{sc}(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}) = \emptyset \tag{3.41}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_p(H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}) \;=\; \Big\{ & E \in \mathbb{R}/G'^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R)F^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R) - G^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R)F'^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R) \\ & -\alpha_m G'^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R)F'^{(1)}_{B,m}(E,R) = 0 \\ & or\ G'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R)F^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R) - G^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R)F'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R) \\ & -\beta_{m'} G'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R)F'^{(2)}_{B,m'}(E,R) = 0\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

The negative eigenvalues of $H^{G_{m,m'}}_{B,m,m'}$ are obtained from the equation

$$det(\mu_{i,j}(E))^{-1} = 0; \;\; E < 0, \tag{3.43}$$

which has at most two solutions $E_0 < 0$.

Proof:
Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2.

4 Remarks

Let us point out that the nonrelativistic results can be trivially deduced from the relativistic one setting the scalar parameter $\beta = 0$.

The results for the point interaction at the origin appear as a particular case of the cylinder interaction investigated here. Indeed, when $R \to 0$ in (2.1) and (3.1), we recover the boundary conditions corresponding to the nonrelativistic point interaction investigated in [3]. Finally, let us recall that the properties for point interaction placed at any point x could be found using the transformation relation $t_x H_\alpha t_{-x}$ given in [6], where t_x is a translation application of vector x, H_α being the Hamiltonian perturbed by point interaction at the origin $r = 0$.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the Belgian cooperation CUD - CIUF/UAC - IMSP, the Abdus Salam International Center for Theoretical Physics and the Conseil Regional Provence - Alpes - Cote d'Azur (France) for their financial support.

References

[1] V. A. Geiler, St. Petersburg Math. J. **3**, 489-531, (1992).

[2] B. S. Pavlov, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **B 42**, 99-131, (1987).

[3] F. Gesztesy, H. Holden and P. $\tilde{S}$eba, *"On point interactions in magnetic field systems"*, Proceedings of the Schrödinger Operators, Standard and Non-standard, Dubna, USSR, edited by Pavel Exner and Petr $\tilde{S}$eba (World Scientific, 1988).

[4] G. Honnouvo and M. N. Hounkonnou, *Spectral Properties of Landau Operator with δ-interaction, J. Phys. A* (2003, in print).

[5] N. I. Akhiezer and I. M. Glazman, *Theory of Linear Operators in Hilbert Space, Vol.* **2**, (Pitman, Boston, 1981).

[6] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Hoegh-Krohn and H. Holden, *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, Texts and Monographs in Physics (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1988).

[7] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New York, 1972).

[8] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol.* **4**, *Analysis of Operators* (Academic, New York, 1978).